# Training Packet: How to Calculate the 2013 Accountability Performance Indexes

To help districts and campuses develop a better understanding of the new state accountability system, TEA has developed this training packet using available results from the prior school year (2012). The 2012 Indicators Report provides performance indicators of 2012 State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR<sup>™</sup>) and previously reported information found on the 2011-12 Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Reports: Annual Dropout Rate, Graduation Rate, and Annual Rate of RHSP/DAP graduates. The three primary purposes of the 2012 Indicators Report are shown below.

- 1) The 2012 report and the accompanying training packet are designed to help districts and campuses understand how each of the performance indexes will be constructed when the 2013 performance results are made available this summer.
- 2) The 2012 report provides districts and campuses with the 2012 results that will be used to identify the two race/ethnicity student groups that will be evaluated in Index 3: Closing Performance Gaps in 2013.
- 3) The 2012 report provides districts and campuses with the 2012 results that will be used for small numbers analyses in 2013 that require combinations of two years of data. Note that the 2012 results will also be used for small numbers analyses that will be conducted in 2014 that require combinations of three years of data.

Other important information in this training packet includes:

- Cautions on Using the 2012 Indicators Report to Predict 2013 Performance Index Outcomes that details the many limitations of using Spring 2012 data to predict Performance Index outcomes;
- Information on the new Consolidated Accountability File (CAF);
- Calendar of 2013 State Accountability ratings releases; and
- Step-by-step instructions for calculating each Performance Index.

#### Cautions on Using the 2012 Indicators Report to Predict 2013 Performance Index Outcomes

It is important to note that the 2012 reports are not a preview of the state accountability outcomes for 2013. There are many reasons to avoid using this information to predict the 2013 accountability rating. A primary reason is that the Spring 2012 STAAR performance results are not an exact representation of the data that will be used to calculate the indicators for the ratings in 2013. The most important differences in the STAAR results from the prior year (2012) to those used for 2013 ratings are listed below:

- The Student Success Initiative (SSI) was suspended during the 2011-12 school year due to the development of the new STAAR assessment.
- The STAAR end-of-course tests (EOC) were offered for the first time during the spring of 2012, therefore no retest opportunities were available during the 2011-12 school year.
- Any improvement in performance between the 2012 (as reported here) and 2013 may be offset for high schools and districts by the inclusion of more difficult assessment results. For example, the 2012 STAAR performance report includes STAAR EOC tests administered during the 2011-12 school year from students in the class of 2015, the first class to graduate under the STAAR graduation requirements, which include test results for English I, Algebra I, Biology, and World Geography. Actual 2013 performance will use STAAR EOC results for the class of 2015 and the class of 2016. Test results included in the actual 2013 performance report will include the next higher test in each subject – English II, Geometry, Chemistry, and World History.

# Training Packet: How to Calculate the 2013 Accountability Performance Indexes

The 2012 Indicators Report does provide similar grades and subjects as those planned for 2013. For this reason, Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) grade 11 test results are included in combination with the results shown at the Phase-in 1 Level II performance standard. In addition, the performance rates provided on the 2012 Indicators Report reflect the criteria used for the Index 1 calculations, including the appropriate inclusion/exclusion of English Language Learner (ELL) results that will be applied in 2013.

### New! Consolidated Accountability File (CAF)

Beginning in July, 2013, school districts will receive a single consolidated data file that will provide student test results for the Summer 2012, Fall 2012, and Spring 2013 test administrations. The state testing contractor, Pearson, will provide the TEA and school districts a data file which includes one record per student and will be used by TEA to calculate the performance index results. At the time of the state accountability rating release on August 8, 2013, TEA will provide information for districts to use to determine which student tests were included in the index calculation. When available, 2013 Data File Format for the Consolidated Accountability File will be posted by the TEA Student Assessment Division under the Data File Formats link.

It is anticipated that the availability of the CAF in future years will provide districts with the information used to determine performance index results and allow districts to review their test data prior to the release of state accountability ratings.

	2013 State Accountability Ratings Releases									
June 6, 2013	<i>Graduation/Dropout Summary Reports and Lists.</i> Superintendents are given access to confidential summary reports and lists of dropouts and cohort membership. These reports provide a preview of the following Graduation Rate and Annual Dropout Rate indicators that will be used to determine the Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness outcomes for 2013 accountability:									
	<ul> <li>Class of 2012 Four-year Longitudinal Rates</li> <li>Class of 2011 Five-year Extended Longitudinal Rates</li> <li>Class of 2010 Six-year Extended Longitudinal Rates</li> <li>2011-12 Annual Dropout Rates</li> </ul>									
Mid-July 2013	<i>Consolidated Accountability File.</i> The test contractor will provide school districts with a data file which includes one record per student and will be used by TEA to calculate the performance index results. This data file will include the results of the STAAR Progress Measure that will be used to determine the Index 2: Student Progress outcomes for 2013 accountability.									
August 1, 2013	Accountability Preview Data Tables. Superintendents are given access to confidential preview accountability data tables for their district and campuses showing all accountability indicator data. Principals and superintendents can use these data tables to anticipate their campus and district accountability ratings.									
August 8, 2013	Ratings Release.									

#### Calendar of Upcoming Releases

## Training Packet: How to Calculate the 2013 Accountability Performance Indexes

### Performance Index 1: Student Achievement

The purpose of Index 1 is to provide an overview of student performance based on satisfactory student achievement across all subjects for all students.

- Student Group: All Students only
- Minimum size criteria: None, small numbers analysis if fewer than 10
- Methodology: STAAR test results are summed across tests, grades, and subjects; the index is calculated by taking the number of tests that meet the Phase-in 1 Level II performance standard divided by the total number of tests.
- 2013 Target for Index 1 is 50 for campuses and districts (Alternative Education campus and district target is 25).

#### **Calculation Steps:**

1. Obtain your district or campus report.

			201	2 Indi	cators	Report						
	Report for: Campus ID: District:	SAMPLI 99999999 SAMPLI	EHS )99 EISD					Tota Grac	ll Students: le Span:	3,122 9-12	New Prin	Search t PDF
Performance Reporting [	Division										Dow	nload
2012 Indicators Report											Di Glossary	ata
STAAR Performance (Spring	2012 Administ	All Students ration Or	African American Ily, include	Hispanic s TAKS g	White rade 11)	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Econ Disadv	ELL
All Subjects (2012) Percent of Tests % at Phase-in 1 Level II or above % at Final Level II or above		44% 10%	52% 8%	49% 10%	87% 22%	0	0 0	0 0	72% 28%	56% 26%	44% 11%	44% 11%
% at Level III Advanced Number of Tests # at Phase-in 1 Level II or above # at Final Level II or above # at Level III Advanced Total Tests		2% 1,342 289 54 3,035	3% 188 30 10 359	2% 1,265 250 50 2,597	0% 20 5 0 23	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	6% 13 5 1 18	1% 147 68 3 264	2 1,125 281 52 2,555	2 1,171 289 48 2,647
Reading (2012) Percent of Tests % at Phase-in 1 Level II or above % at Final Level II or above % at Level III Advanced		56% 13% 2%	57% 0% 5%	56% 12% 2%	55% 9% 0%	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	67% 50% 17%	69% 31% 1%	49% 14% 3%	50% 14% 2%
Number of Tests # at Phase in 1 Level II or above # at Final Level II or above # at Level III Advanced Total Tests		551 124 21 984	47 0 4 82	490 107 17 878	6 1 0 11	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 3 1 6	58 26 1 84	428 118 25	450 124
Mathematics (2012) Percent of Tests % at Phase-in 1 Level II or above % at Final Level III or above % at Level III Advanced		54% 11% 3%	44% 0% 0%	55% 10% 3%	90% 40% 0%	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0				
Number of lests # at Phase-in 1 Level II or above # at Final Level II or above # at Level III Advanced Total Tests		534 105 26 988	36 0 0 82	483 92 26 882	9 4 0 10	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0				
Writing (2012) Percent of Tests % at Phase-in 1 Level II or above % at Final Level II or above % at Level III Advanced		8% 6% 1%	54% 15% 3%	33% 7% 1%	100% 0% 0%	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	50			

This index is the simplest to determine: Locate the All Subjects section, All Students column, the first row is labeled *% at Phase-in 1 Level II or above*. This is your Index 1 score.

STAAR Performance (Spring 2012 Admini	All Students stration On	African American Iy, include	Hispanic s TAKS ç	White grade 11
All Subjects (2012)				
Percent of Tests				
% at Phase-in 1 Level II or above	(44%)	52%	49%	87%
% at Final Level II or above	10%	8%	10%	22%
% at Level III Advanced	2%	3%	2%	0% 之
Number of Tests				5
# at Phase-in 1 Level II or above	1,342	188	1,265	20 🌂
# at Final Level II or above	289	30	250	5 [
# at Level III Advanced	54	10	50	0
Total Tests	3,035	359	2,597	23
Reading (2012) Percent of Tents		hana	-	John Street

The following steps describe how the index score was determined:

- 1. Identify the number of subjects tested.
- 2. Enter the number of tests that meet Phase-in 1 Level II performance standard for each subject into the Index 1 Performance Calculation Table. (Example below is for Reading performance.) Sum the number over all subjects. This total is the numerator.
- 3. Enter the number of total tests in each by subject. (Example below is for Reading performance.) Sum the number over all subjects. This total is the denominator.

Index 1 Performance Calculation Table

											% Met Phase-	
								Social			in 1	Index
	Reading	N	lath	Writing		Science		Studies		Total	Level II	Points
Number												
Tests at												
Phase-in												
or Above	551	+ •	534 +	27	+	143	+	87	=	1 342		
Total	551		. ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	21	-	143	-	07		1,342		
Total	004	+ (		252	+	25/	+	256	=	2 025	11	11
Tests	904	1 2	100	222	•	554		550	-	5,055	44	44
Index Sco	re											44
	$\longrightarrow$											
	\	$ \land \land $				A11	A fei					
		$\setminus \setminus$			St	All		an ican Hi	snanic	White		
STAAR	Performa	ance (S	nring 20	12 Admir	nistra	ation Onl	lv in	cludes 1	TAKS	orade 1	1) 🥒 👘	
onun			2	12 / Galilli			<b>,</b>	oradoo		grade	1	
All Subie	ects (2012)	$ \land $	$\mathbf{A}$								- 1	
Percent	of Tests		$ \land \land $									
% at Ph	ase-in 1 Lev	el II or ab	pove			44%		52%	49%	879	% >	
% at Fin	nal Level II o	rabove	$\setminus$			10%		8%	10%	229	%	
% at Le	vel III Advan	iced		<b>`</b>		2%		3%	2%	0	%	
Number	oflests			$\mathbf{i}$		4.040		400	4.005			
# at Pha # at Fin	ase-in TLeve	el II or ab	ove	$\sim$		1,342		188	1,265	2	5	
# at Fina # at Lev	al Level II Or	above	```	$\land$		209		10	250		0	
Total Te	ests			$ \land \land $		3 035		359	2 597	2	3	
				$ \land \land $		0,000		000	2,001	-		
Reading	(2012)			$ \land \land$							- <b>X</b>	
Percent	of Tests			\ `	$\backslash$						-	
% at Ph	ase-in 1 Lev	vel II or ab	oove		$\mathbf{A}$	56%		57%	56%	559	%	
% at Fin	nal Level II o	rabove		$\backslash$		13%		0%	12%	99	% 🏉	
% at Le	vel III Advan	iced			$ \setminus $	2%		5%	2%	0	%	
Number	of lests	l II. ar ab				554		47	400		c 3	
# at Pha # at Fin	ase-in i Leve	above	ove		-	104		4/	490			
# at Lev	el III Advanc	red			)	21		4	17		6 L	
Total Te	sts					984		82	878	1	1	
Mathema	atics (2012	)								~	1	
Langent of	of 🗾 🗸								·····			
-						-			V	VV	-	

4. To calculate the Percent Met Phase-in 1 Level II, divide the numerator by the denominator. The resulting percent is equal to the Index Points Total and the Index 1 score. In this example, the numerator of 1,342 is divided by the denominator of 3,035. This results in 44% which is also 44 Index Points Total and an Index 1 Score of 44.

## Training Packet: How to Calculate the 2013 Accountability Performance Indexes

#### Performance Index 2: Student Progress

The purpose of Index 2 is to provide a measure of actual student growth independent of overall achievement levels for race/ethnicity student groups, students with disabilities served by special education, and English language learners.

Important: School districts will receive data on individual student results of the STAAR Progress Measure in early fall 2013. A summary of these results will be reported for the first time during the state ratings release in August 2013. A sample summary report of STAAR Progress results is provided to demonstrate how Index 2 is calculated.

- Subjects: Reading and Mathematics; Writing for all available grades
- Ten Student Groups: All Students, ELL, special education, and the seven race/ethnicity student groups of African American, American Indian, Asian, Hispanic, Pacific Islander, White, and Two or More Races
- Minimum size criteria:
  - o All Students ≥ 10
  - Race/ethnicity, English language learner and special education student groups  $\geq 25$
- Summary Reports:

The STAAR Progress Measure summary reports that will be provided in August 2013 with the state ratings release will include the following percentages:

- Percent Met or Exceeded Expectation, and
- Percent Exceeded Expectation
- Methodology: The calculation of the index score for Index 2 is a two step process.
  - Each subject contributes points for All Students and each student group that met minimum size criteria. For each student group, the percent of students at the specified student growth level on the assessment is multiplied by the weight for that growth level:
    - One point for each percent of students at the Met or Exceeded growth expectations level
    - One additional point for each percent of students at the Exceeded growth expectations level.
  - 2. Combine all subjects and points for a final index score.
- 2013 Target for Index 2 will be set at or about the 5th percentile of campus performance and will be applied to both campuses and districts (a target at or about the 5th percentile of Alternative Education campuses performance be applied to both AECs and charter operators).

The following steps describe how to calculate an Index 2 index score.

# Training Packet: How to Calculate the 2013 Accountability Performance Indexes

### Calculation Steps:

1. When available, obtain your district or campus Index 2 Data Table.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	FU
Student Progress	Students	American	mopune		manan	Asian	Islander	more ruces	Lu	
Reading Percent of Tests										
% Did Not Meet Expectation	35%	20%	25%	27%	0%	0%	0%	30%	35%	25%
% Met or Exceeded Expectation % Exceeded Expectation	65% 20%	80% 25%	75% 15%	72% 27%	0% 0%	0% 0%	0% 0%	72% 17%	65% 5%	75% 20%
Number of Tests										
# Did Not Meet Expectation # Met or Exceeded Expectation # Exceeded Expectation	326 605 186	13 51 16	207 621 124	3 8 3	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	2 4 1	26 49 4	205 614 164
Total Tests	931	64	828	11	0	0	0	6	75	819
Mathematics Percent of Tests										
% Did Not Meet Expectation	35%	19%	25%	29%	0%	0%	0%	29%	35%	25%
% Met or Exceeded Expectation % Exceeded Expectation	65% 20%	80% 25%	75% 15%	72% 29%	0%	0%	0%	/1% 14%	65% 5%	75% 20%
# Did Not Meet Expectation # Did Not Meet Expectation # Exceeded Expectation Total Tests	327 608 187 935	13 54 17 67	208 624 125 832	4 10 4 14	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 5 1 7	27 51 4 78	205 615 164 820
Writing Percent of Tests										
% Did Not Meet Expectation	35%	20%	25%	30%	0%	0%	0%	30%	35%	25%
% Met or Exceeded Expectation % Exceeded Expectation	65% 20%	80% 25%	75% 15%	70% 25%	0% 0%	0% 0%	0% 0%	70% 15%	65% 5%	75% 20%
Number of Tests										
# Did Not Meet Expectation # Met or Exceeded Expectation # Exceeded Expectation Total Tests	115 213 66 328	12 48 15 60	67 201 40 268	1 2 1 3	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 0 2	9 16 1 25	64 191 51 255

2. For the Reading, Mathematics, and Writing subjects, determine the student groups that meet minimum size criteria.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	ELL
Student Progress										
Reading Percent of Tests										
% Did Not Meet Expectation	35%	20%	25%	27%	0%	0%	0%	30%	35%	25%
% Met or Exceeded Expectation % Exceeded Expectation	65% 20%	80% 25%	75% 15%	72% 27%	0% 0%	0% 0%	0% 0%	72% 17%	65% 5%	75% 20%
Number of Tests										
# Did Not Meet Expectation # Met or Exceeded Expectation	326 605	13 51	207 621	3 8	0	0	0	2	26 49	205 614
# Exceeded Expectation Total Tests	186	16	124	3 11	0	0	0	1	(75)	164

3. Use each eligible student groups' data to fill in the Index 2 Calculation Table. (*Example below is for Reading performance.*)

4. Fill in the data for the Number of Tests.



## Training Packet: How to Calculate the 2013 Accountability Performance Indexes

5. Fill in the data for Percent of Tests that Met or Exceeded Expectation and Percent of Tests that Exceeded Expectation.



6. Add the Percent Met or Exceeded Expectation value with the Percent Exceeded Expectation value; this is the Reading Weighted Growth Rate.

Reading Progress					{				
STAAR Weighted Growth Rate for Reading	All Students	African Amer.	Hispanic		Fore	Special Ed	ELL	Total Points	Max. Points
Number of Tests	931	64	<mark>828</mark>		~~~	75	819		
# Did Not Meet Expectation	326	13	207	$\supset$	$\geq$	26	205		
# Met or Exceeded Expectation	605	51	621	5	Į	49	614		
# Exceeded Expectation	186	16	124	1	>	4	164		
Percent of Tests % Met or Exceeded Expectation % Exceeded Expectation	65%	80%	75% 15%			65% 5%	20%		
Reading Weighted Growth Rate	85	105	90	<		70	95	445	1,000
M				5	$\sim$	m	$\sim$	~~~~~	$\sim$

Performance Index 2: Student Progress

## Training Packet: How to Calculate the 2013 Accountability Performance Indexes

- 7. Sum the last row each row to determine the Total Points the each subject. (This example is for Reading).
- 8. Next, determine the Maximum Number of Points for the subject. Count the number of student groups that groups that meet the minimum size criteria size. Multiply the count of eligible student groups by 200. (This example is for Reading and has 5 eligible groups, multiplied by 200 for a maximum of 1,000 points).
- 9. Fill in the Index 2 Calculation Table for each subject (Reading, Mathematics, and Writing) and calculate the Weighted Growth Rate, Total Points, and Maximum Number of Points for each subject.
- 10. Determine the Overall Points for Index 2 by transferring each subject's Weighted Growth Rate, Total Points, and Maximum Number of Points to the Index 2 Overall Progress Calculation Table.

	-						<u> </u>						_
STAAR Weighted G	Growth Rate	All Studen	ts African	Amer.	Hispanic		lore	Special E	Ed E	LL To	otal Points	Max. Points	3
Number of Tests		931	64	ţ	828		~	75	8	19			
# Did Not Meet Exp	pectation	326	13	;	207	$\Box \Sigma$	>	26	2	05			
# Met or Exceeded	d Expectation	605	51		621			49	6	14			
# Exceeded Expect	ctation	186	16	5	124		}	4	1	64			
Percent of Tests % Met or Exceeded Expectation	I	65%	809	%	75%		1	65%	7	5%			
% Exceeded Expectation		20%	259	%	15%		3	5%	20	0%			
Reading Weighted Growth Rate		85	10	5	90	<b>\$</b>		70		5	445	1.000	
		1	7	-		723							
all Progress				7	Las	<b>7</b> ~		La la		7			
all Progress	All Students		Himanic	White	American	Asian		Pacific	Two or More	Special Ed		Total Baiate	
all Progress	All Students	African Amer.	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian		Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	ELL	Total Points	
all Progress tor Reading ghted Growth Rate	All Students 85	African Amer. 105	Hispanic 90	White	American Indian	Asian		Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	ELL 95	Total Points 445	
all Progress tor Reading phted Growth Rate Rathematics	All Students 85	African Amer. 105	Hispanic 90	White	American Indian	Asian		Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed 70	ELL 95	Total Points 445	
all Progress tor Reading ghted Growth Rate Rathematics ghted Growth Rate	All Students 85 85	African Amer. 105 105	Hispanic 90 90	White	American Indian	Asian		Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed 70 70	ELL 95 95	Total Points 445 445	
all Progress tor Reading ghted Growth Rate R Mathematics ghted Growth Rate Writing ghted Growth Rate	All Students 85 85 85 85	African Amer. 105 105 95	Hispanic 90 90	White	American Indian	Asian		Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed 70 70 70	ELL 95 95 95	Total Points 445 445 435	F 1 1
all Progress tor Reading ghted Growth Rate R Mathematics ghted Growth Rate R Writing ghted Growth Rate	All Students 85 85 85 85	African Amer. 105 105 95	Hispanic 90 90 90	White	American Indian	Asian		Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed 70 70 70	ELL 95 95 95	Total Points 445 445 435 1,325	

11. Calculate the Index Score. The Index Score is equal to the sum of the total points divided by the sum of the maximum points. In this example, the sum of the total points is 1,325, divided by the sum of the maximum points, 3,000, to arrive at the Index 2 Score of 44.

# Training Packet: How to Calculate the 2013 Accountability Performance Indexes

### Performance Index 3: Closing Performance Gaps

*The purpose of Index 3 is to emphasize the advanced academic achievement of economically disadvantaged students and the two lowest performing race/ethnicity student groups.* 

- Subjects: Reading, Writing, Mathematics, Science, Social Studies
- Student groups: Economically Disadvantaged student group and the two lowest performing race/ethnicity student groups on the campus or district based on prior year assessment results
- Minimum size criteria: Economically disadvantaged none, small numbers analysis if fewer than 10 tests; race/ethnicity student groups ≥ 25 tests
- Methodology: calculation of the index score for Index 3 is a two step process.
  - 1. Each subject contributes points from each student group:
    - One point for each percent of tests that meet the at the Phase-in 1 Level II performance standard and above (includes tests at Level III Advanced)
    - Beginning in 2014, one additional point will be added for each percent of tests at Level III.
  - 2. Combine all subjects and points for a final index score.
- 2013 Target for Index 3 is 55 for campuses and districts (Alternative Education campus and district target is 30).
- Identifying the Prior Year Lowest Performing Race/Ethnicity Student Groups

The 2011-12 STAAR performance report provides information combined across All Subjects for All Students and each of the seven race/ethnicity student groups based on the criteria for Index 1. Note that the performance rates of the race/ethnicity student groups shown on the report are the data that determine the lowest performing groups for the 2013 Index 3 calculation.

Steps to identify the lowest performing race/ethnicity student:

- 1. Find the race/ethnicity student groups that meet the minimum size criteria of  $\geq 25$ ,
- 2. Order the student groups that met the minimum size criteria from the lowest to highest performance rate and student group size (total tests),
- 3. Determine how many race/ethnicity student groups to select:
  - If three or more, select two: If a district or campus has three or more race/ethnicity student groups that meet minimum size criteria, select only the two with the lowest performance\*;
  - *If two, select one*: If a district or campus has two race/ethnicity student groups that meet minimum size requirements, select one with the lowest performance\*;
  - *If one, do not select any group*: If a district or campus has only one race/ethnicity student group that meets minimum size criteria, then no race/ethnic group is evaluated.

\* In the rare case where two race/ethnicity student groups have the same performance rate, select the student group with the largest student group size (total tests).

# Training Packet: How to Calculate the 2013 Accountability Performance Indexes

### Calculation Steps:

The Economically Disadvantaged student group is evaluated if data are available for the current 2012-13 school year or the prior year (2011-12). If data for the Economically Disadvantaged student group are not available, then only the race/ethnicity student groups that meet minimum size criteria are evaluated.

1. Obtain your district or campus report.

			201	12 Indi	cators	Report	t				-	
TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	Report for: Campus ID: District:	Report for: SAMPLE HS Campus ID: 99999999 District: SAMPLE ISD						Grad	al Students: de Span:	New Search Print PDF		
Performance Reporting	Division										Dow	nload ata
2012 Indicators Report											Glossary	
STAAR Performance (Spring	2012 Administ	All Students	African American nly, include	Hispanic as TAKS (	White grade 11)	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Econ Disadv	ELL
All Subjects (2012)												
% at Phase in 1 Level II or above % at Final Level II or above % at Level II Advanced		44% 10% 2%	52% 8% 3%	49% 10% 2%	87% 22% 0%	0	000	000	72% 28% 6%	56% 26% 1%	44% 11% 2	44% 11% 2
Number of Tests # at Phase-in 1 Level II or above # at Final Level II or above # at Level III Advanced Total Tests		1,342 289 54 3,035	188 30 10 359	1,265 250 50 2,597	20 5 0 23	0000	0000	0000	13 5 1 18	147 68 3 264	1.125 281 52 2.555	1, 171 289 48 2,647
Reading (2012) Percent of Tests % at Phase in Level 8 or above % at Enal Level 8 or above % at Level 8 Advanced		56% 13% 2%	57% 0% 5%	56% 12% 2%	55% 9% 0%	0	0 0 0	0	67% 50% 17%	69% 31% 1%	49% 14% 3%	50% 14% 2%
Number of Tests # at Phase-in 1 Level II or above # at Final Level II or above # at Level III Advanced Total Tests		551 124 21 964	47 0 4 82	490 107 17 878	6 1 0 11	0000	0000	0000	4314	58 26 1 84	428 118 25	450
Mathematics (2012) Percent of Tests % at Phase-in 1. Level II or above % at Level II Advanced % at Level II Advanced		54% 11% 3%	44% 0% 0%	55% 10% 3%	90% 40% 0%	000	000	000			/	
Further of Tests # at Phase-in 1 Level II or above # at Final Level II or above # at Level III Advanced Total Tests		534 105 26 988	36 0 0 82	483 92 26 882	9 4 0 10	0000	0000	0000		/		
Writing (2012) Percent of Tests % at Phase in 1 Level II or above % at Final Level II or above % at Level II Advanced		8% 6% 1%	54% 15% 3%	33% 7% 1%	100% 0%	0000	000	000				

 Determine your district's or campus's two lowest-performing student groups based on the Index 1 student achievement indicator. In this example, only the African American and Hispanic student groups met minimum size so only one race/ethnicity student group is selected. The Hispanic and the Economically Disadvantaged student groups are used to calculate the index score.

Note: The 2012 report is based on Index 1 and excludes English language learner (ELL) results for students who are in their 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, or 3<sup>rd</sup> year in US schools. For 2013 only, data used to calculate an Index 3 score will exclude all ELL students, regardless of number of years in US schools (years coded 1 through 6 or more).

STAAR Performance (Spring 2012 Adm	All Students nistration Or	African American Ily, include	Hispanic es TAKS gr	White ade 11)	5re	o or Races	Special Ed	Econ Disadv	ELL
All Subjects (2012)					4				
Percent of Tests					₹ <u>₹</u>				
% at Phase-in 1 Level II or above	44%	52%	(49%)	87%		72%	56%	44%	44%
% at Final Level II or above	10%	8%	10%	22%	$\geq $	28%	26%	11%	11%
% at Level III Advanced	2%	3%	2%	0%	<b>T</b> / -	6%	1%	2	2
Number of Tests					₹2				
# at Phase-in 1 Level II or above	1,342	188	1,265	20	X	13	147	1,125	1,171
# at Final Level II or above	289	30	250	5	$\sim$	5	68	281	289
# at Level III Advanced	54	10	50	0	7.2	1	3	52	48
Total Tests	3,035	359	2,597	23	$\overline{\nabla}$	18	264	2,555	2,647
		_			$\sim$				
Reading (2012)			Andrew of	a second		$\sim$	$\sim$	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	$\sim$

Performance Index 3: Closing Performance Gaps

# Training Packet: How to Calculate the 2013 Accountability Performance Indexes

3. For each subject, locate the data for the Economically Disadvantaged student group and data for the Hispanic student group that you identified in step 2. Use this data to fill in the Index 3 Calculation Table. (*Example below is for Reading performance.*)

# Reading Performance Calculation Table



# Training Packet: How to Calculate the 2013 Accountability Performance Indexes

- 4. Continue filling in the Index Calculation Table for each subject (Reading, Mathematics, Writing, Science, Social Studies).
- 5. Determine each subject's Weighted Performance Rate. For 2013, each subject's Weighted Performance Rate is equal to the Percent of tests that meet Phase-in 1 Level II or above performance standard.

		Performing	Lowest		
		Race/Ethnic	Performing		
STAAR Weighted Performance Rate	Economically	Group - 1	Race/Ethnic		Maximum
for Reading	Disadvantaged	(Hispanic)	Group - 2	Total Points	Points
Number of Tests	873	878			
Performance Results:					
Phase-in 1 Level II or above					
Number	428	490			
Percent	49	56	N/A		
Level III Advanced (2014 and beyond)					
Number					
Percent					
Reading Weighted Performance Rate	49	56		105	200

#### **Reading Performance Calculation Table**

- 6. Next, determine the Maximum Number of Points for the subject. Count the number of student groups that groups that meet the minimum size criteria size. For 2013 only, multiply the count of eligible student groups by 100. (This example has 2 eligible groups, multiplied by 100 for a maximum of 200 points for Reading).
- 7. Determine the Overall Performance: The values calculated for each subject are transferred to the Overall Performance Calculation Table.

#### Reading Performance Calculation Table

		Performing	Lowest		
		Race/Ethnic	Performing		
STAAR Weighted Performance Rate	Economically	Group - 1	Race/Ethnic		Maximum
for Reading	Disadvantaged	(Hispanic)	Group - 2	Total Points	Points
Number of Tests	873	878			
Performance Results:	1				
Phase-in 1 Level II or above					
Number	428	490			
Percent	49	56	N/A		
Level III Advanced (2014 and beyond)					
Number					
Percent					
Reading Weighted Performance Rate	(49)	56		105	200
Overall Performance					
		Lowest			
		Performing	Lowest		
		Race/Ethnic	Performing		
	Economically	Group - 1	Race/Ethnic	↓	Maximum
STAAR Weighted Performance Rate	Disadvantaged	(Hispanic)	Group - 2	Total Points	Points
Reading Weighted Performance Rate	49	56	N/A	105	200
Mathematics Weighted Performance Rate	47	55	N/A	102	200
Writing Weighted Performance Rate	33	33	N/A	66	200
Science Weighted Performance Rate	46	46	N/A	92	200
Social Studies Weighted Performance Rate	28	26	N/A	54	200
Total				419	1 000

- 8. Continue transferring all performance data for each subject until the Overall Performance Calculation Table is complete.
- 9. The final index score is total points divided by maximum points. In this example, the sum of the total points is 419, divided by the sum of the maximum points, 1,000, resulting in an Index 3 Score of 42.

Index Score (total points divided by maximum points)

42

# Training Packet: How to Calculate the 2013 Accountability Performance Indexes

#### Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness

The purpose of Index 4 is to emphasize the importance for students to receive a high school diploma that provides the foundation necessary for success in college, the workforce, job training programs, or the military; and the role of elementary and middle schools in preparing students for high school.

The calculation of the index score for Index 4 is a multiple step process. For 2013, Index 4 only uses the Graduation Score component of the Index, since by statute, the STAAR component (Percent Met Final Level II on One or More Tests for All Students and race/ethnicity student groups) cannot be used until 2014.

<u>Graduation Score</u>: combined performance across the graduation rates from either the Four-Year or Five-Year Graduation Rates, and the Diploma Plan indicator. Either the Four-Year or Five-Year Graduation Rate is used, not a mix of Four-Year Graduation Rate for one student group and Five-Year Graduation Rate for another student group. The Recommended High School Program (RHSP)/ Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) annual rate indicator is calculated for campuses and districts regardless of whether they have a longitudinal graduation rate.

- Methodology: Index 4 Graduation Score component is calculated in three steps:
  - 1. Determine the **Four-Year** Graduation Score:
    - o Grade 9-12 Four-Year Graduation Rate for All Students and student groups, and
    - RHSP/ DAP Graduates for All Students and race/ethnicity student groups;
  - 2. Determine the Five-Year Graduation Score:
    - o Grade 9-12 Five-Year Graduation Rate for All Students and student groups, and
    - RHSP/ DAP Graduates for All Students and race/ethnicity student groups (same rates used on both options);
  - 3. Select the best outcome.

#### Grade 9-12 Four-year or Five-year Graduation Rate:

- Ten Student groups: All Students, ELL student group, special education student group, and seven race/ethnicity student groups: African American, Hispanic, White, American Indian, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Two or More Races
  - ELL student group is defined as students who were identified as limited English proficient since entering Grade 9 in the Texas public school system.
- Minimum size criteria:
  - o All Students none, small numbers analysis if fewer than 10 students
  - Student groups ≥ 25, applied to the Total in Class (graduates, continuing students, GED recipients, and dropouts)

# Training Packet: How to Calculate the 2013 Accountability Performance Indexes

#### Annual percent of Recommended High School Program/Distinguished Academic Program (RHSP/ DAP)

- Eight Student groups: All Students and seven race/ethnicity student groups: African American, Hispanic, White, American Indian, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Two or More Races
- Minimum size criteria:
  - All Students none, small numbers analysis if fewer than 10 students
  - Student groups  $\geq$  25, applied to number of prior year graduates

#### Calculation Steps:

 Obtain your district or campus report and view the Graduation and Dropout Rates. Please note that information for certain race/ethnicity student groups have not previously been reported. For 2013, race/ethnicity student groups will be appropriately reported within each longitudinal cohort and used for 2013 Index 4 calculations.

2012 Indicators Report												
	Report for: Campus ID:	eport for: SAMPLE HS ampus ID: 999999999 attict: SAMPLE ISD						Tota Grac	l Students: le Span:	3,122 New Search 9-12 Print PDF		Search † PDE
	District.	SAIVIPLI	EISD								Dow	nload
Performance Reporting L	<u>Division</u>									_	D	ata
2012 Indicators Report											Glossary	
STAAR Performance (Spring :	2012 Administ	All Students ration Or	African American Ily, include	Hispanic s TAK S g	White rade 11)	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Special Ed	Econ Disadv	ELL
All Subjects (2012) Percent of Tests % at Phase-in 1 Level II or above % at Final Level II or above % at Level III Advanced		44% 10% 2%	52% 8% 3%	49% 10% 2%	87% 22%	0	0	0	72% 28% 6%	56% 26% 1%	44% 11% 2	44% 11% 2
Number of Tests # at Phase-in 1 Level II or above # at Final Level II or above # at Level III Advanced Total Tests		1,342 289 54 3,035	188 30 10 359	1,265 250 50 2,597	20 5 0 23	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	13 5 1 18	147 68 3 264	1,125 281 52 2,555	1,171 289 48 2,647
Reading (2012) Percent of Tests % at Phase-in 1 Level II or above % at Final Level II or above % at Level III Advanced		56% 13% 2%	57% 0% 5%	56% 12% 2%	55% 9% 0%	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	67% 50% 17%	69% 31% 1%	49% 14% 3%	50% 14% 2%
Number of Tests # at Phase-in 1 Level II or above # at Final Level II or above # at Level III Advanced Total Tests		551 124 21 984	47 0 4 82	490 107 17 878	6 1 0 11	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 3 1 6	58 26 1 84	428 118 25	450 124
Mathematics (2012) Percent of Tests % at Phase-in 1 Level II or above % at Final Level II or above % at Level III Advanced		54% 11% 3%	44% 0% 0%	55% 10% 3%	90% 40% 0%	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0				
# at Phase-in 1 Level II or above # at Final Level II or above # at Level III Advanced Total Tests		534 105 26 988	36 0 0 82	483 92 26 882	9 4 0 10	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0				
Writing (2012) Percent of Tests % at Phase-in 1 Level II or above % at Final Level II or above % at Level III Advanced		8% 6% 1%	54% 15% 3%	33% 7% 1%	100% 0% 0%	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	50			

 Locate the 4-Year Graduation Rate, the 5-Year Extended Graduation Rate, and the Annual RHSP/DAP Graduates data to complete the Index 4 Calculation Table. Only data for those student groups that meet minimum size criteria are used to populate the Index 4 Calculation Table.

## Training Packet: How to Calculate the 2013 Accountability Performance Indexes



## Training Packet: How to Calculate the 2013 Accountability Performance Indexes

\* Note about the ELL Student Group: The graduation rate used for 2013 accountability for the ELL student group is defined as students who were identified as English language learners at any time while attending Grades 9-12 in Texas public school. The "Ever ELL in HS" rate was not reported on 2011-12 AEIS reports, however, was used and reported for 2011-12 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

- 3. Calculate the 4-Year Graduation Score:
  - a. The 4-Year Total Points is equal to the sum of the graduation rates across all students and each student group that met minimum size criteria.
  - b. The 4-Year Maximum Points is equal to the sum of the count of eligible student groups multiplied by 100. For this example, there were 5 student groups (All Students, African American, Hispanic, White, and ELL\*) multiplied by 100 for a total Maximum Points of 500.
  - c. RHSP/ DAP Total Points is equal to the sum of the RHSP/DAP rates across all students and each student group that met minimum size criteria.
  - d. RHSP/ DAP Maximum Points is equal to the sum of the count of eligible student groups multiplied by 100. For this example, there were 4 student groups (All Students, African American, Hispanic, White) multiplied by 100 for a total Maximum Points of 400.
  - e. Divide 4-Year Total Points by 4-Year Maximum Points to determine the 4-Year Graduation Score. In this example, the Total Points of 645.4 divided by Maximum Points of 900 results in a 4-Year Graduation Score of 72.
- 4. Calculate the 5-Year Graduation Score:
  - a. The 5-Year Total Points is equal to the sum of the graduation rates across all students and each student group that met minimum size criteria.
  - b. The 5-Year Maximum Points is equal to the sum of the count of student groups multiplied by 100. For this example, there were 5 student groups (All Students, African American, Hispanic, White, and ELL\*) multiplied by 100 for a total Maximum Points of 500.
  - c. RHSP/ DAP Total Points is equal to the sum of the RHSP/ DAP rates across all students and each student group that met minimum size criteria.
  - d. RHSP/ DAP Maximum Points is equal to the sum of the count of student groups multiplied by 100. For this example, there were 4 student groups (All Students, African American, Hispanic, White) multiplied by 100 for a total Maximum Points of 400.
  - e. Divide 5-Year Total Points by 5-Year Maximum Points to determine the 5-Year Graduation Score. In this example, the Total Points of 626.9 divided by Maximum Points of 900 results in a 5-Year Graduation Score of 70.
- 5. Compare the 4-Year and 5-Year Graduation Scores and determine which is greater. The higher of the two Graduation Scores will be the district's or campus's Index 4 Score. For this example, the Index Score of 72 is selected.